JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FC	PRM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
JAMES W. SUTTON, III				MAGGIO'S FAMOUS PIZZAS, INC.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff BUCKS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Cary L. Flitter, Andrew M. Milz, Jody T. Lopez-Jacobs, Flitter Milz, 450 N. Narberth Avenue, Suite 101, Narberth, PA 19072 (610) 82				County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. P.	LAINTIFF CASES O ON CASES, USE T)F	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in (One Box fo	or Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		Incorporated or Pr		r Defenda PTF □ 4	nt) DEF 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizo	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and I of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			annone I odi 🕶			here for: Nature			
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 7385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	X	CABOR 1.5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 1.6 Fair Labor Standards Act 1.6 Labor/Management Relations 1.6 Railway Labor Act 1.6 Family and Medical Leave Act 1.7 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1.7 Income Secur	422 Appe 423 With 28 U 2	rights at - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) c Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI (405(g)) AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 A gricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	Cite the U.S. Civil State 10 Serief description of care Telephone Construction of Check IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court atute under which you as n 227 ause: umer Protection Act IS A CLASS ACTION	re filing (1	- I I dilisto	r District utes unless di C	G Multidistr Litigation Transfer versity): HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in	Multidist Litigatio Direct Fil	n - le
DATE Feb 16, FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	2018	SIGNATURE OF AT	IDPNEY C	OF RECORD JULI					
RECEIPT# AN	1OUNT	APPLYING IFP		IUDGE		MAG III	OGE		

Case 2:18-cv-00728-RBS Document 1 Filed 02/20/18 Page 2 of 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.	
Address of Plaintiff: 2107 Blue Stern Drive, New Hope, PA 1	8938
Address of Defendant: 400 2nd Street Pike, Southampton, PA 1	8966
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: New Hope, PA 1893	38
	e Reverse Side For Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any	parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with	n Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ No□
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□X
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following	g questions:
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pen	
	Yes□ NotĂ
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same tr action in this court?	ansaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ Nol ^A
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already it	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appear	
	Yes□ No□ ^X
CIVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other	
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. 🛮 All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Telephone Consumer Protection Act	
ADRITE	RATION CERTIFICATION
Cary I Flitter (C	Check Appropriate Category) scord do hereby certify:
	f my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE:	35047
Attorney-at-Law	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a tri	ial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any ca	ase now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	7.#
DATE: FC 16,2018	fill 35.47
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address				
610-822-0782	610-667-0552	cflitter@consumerslaw.com				
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for Plaintiff				
2/16/18	Con L Fith	Cary L. Flitter				
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.				
	ses that do not fall into tracks omplex and that need special le of this form for a detailed e	or intense management by				
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvin exposure to asbestos.	g claims for personal injury of	or property damage from ()				
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:				
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ev designation, that defendant sh	e Management Track Designa a copy on all defendants. (See ent that a defendant does no all, with its first appearance, s es, a Case Management Track	eduction Plan of this court, counsel for tion Form in all civil cases at the time of § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse t agree with the plaintiff regarding said submit to the clerk of court and serve on a Designation Form specifying the track d.				
MAGGIO 3 PAMIOUS FIZZAS,	inc.	NO.				
v. MAGGIO'S FAMOUS PIZZAS,	INC					
JAMES W. SUTTON, III	<u>:</u>	CIVIL ACTION				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES W. SUTTON, III 2107 Blue Stern Drive New Hope, PA 18938,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NO.

MAGGIO'S FAMOUS PIZZAS, INC. 400 2nd Street Pike Southampton, PA 18966,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. This is a consumer protection action for damages brought pursuant to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ("TCPA").
- 2. Defendant Maggio's Famous Pizzas, Inc. sent many unsolicited fax advertisements to Plaintiff's telephone facsimile machine in violation of the TCPA.

II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 3. Subject matter jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 4. In personam jurisdiction exists and venue is proper, as Defendant sent the faxes to Plaintiff in this District.

III. PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is an adult individual residing at the address captioned.
- 6. Defendant Maggio's Famous Pizzas, Inc. ("Maggio") is a corporation in the food-service industry that transacts business at the address provided above.
 - 7. Defendant is a "person" as defined by the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

- 8. From 2017 through January 2018, Maggio caused at least 46 and likely many more unsolicited fax advertisements to be transmitted to a facsimile machine used by Plaintiff.
- 9. The fax advertisements promoted Defendant's services and were sent without Plaintiff's knowledge, approval, or authorization.
- 10. The fax advertisements did not include an "opt out notice" or a number for removal from Defendant's advertisement list.
- 11. Plaintiff has been the involuntary recipient of these unsolicited fax advertisements for an extended period of time but did not know until recently that it is against federal law to send unsolicited fax advertisements.
- 12. Plaintiff did not give Defendant any prior express invitation or permission to send advertisements to him.
- 13. Plaintiff did not have a prior business relationship with Defendant and had not authorized the sending of fax advertisements.
- 14. There are no reasonable means for Plaintiff to avoid receiving these faxes. Fax machines must be left on and ready to receive the urgent communications authorized by their owners.
- 15. The faxes, when received from Defendant, utilize and consume Plaintiff's paper, ink/toner and tie up the line.

COUNT I TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT JAMES W. SUTTON, III v. MAGGIO'S FAMOUS PIZZAS, INC.

16. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained above as if the same were here set forth at length.

- 17. Section 227(b)(1)(C) of the TCPA makes it unlawful to send an unsolicited advertisement to a telephone facsimile machine.
- 18. Under the Federal Communications Commission's regulations, "A facsimile advertisement that is sent to a recipient that has provided prior express invitation or permission to the sender must include an opt-out notice" 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(iv).
- 19. Defendant violated the TCPA by sending unsolicited advertisements to telephone facsimile machine of Plaintiff.
- 20. To the extent Defendant argues Plaintiff consented or had an established business relationship with Defendant, Defendant nonetheless violated the TCPA by failing to send the required opt-out notice with its unsolicited fax advertisements.
- 21. Defendant willfully and knowingly violated the TCPA as demonstrated by Defendant sending a facsimile advertisement to Plaintiff.
- 22. As a result of Defendant's unsolicited advertisements, Plaintiff lost use of his fax machine, paper, toner, and time that could no longer be used for any other purpose.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff James W. Sutton, III respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant Maggio's Famous Pizzas, Inc., for the following:

(a) Statutory damages for each fax sent within four years of the filing of this Complaint, pursuant to the TCPA:

- (b) A declaration that the faxes sent violate the TCPA;
- (c) Such other and further relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted:

Date: 2/14/18

CARY L. FLITTER ANDREW M. MILZ

JODY THOMAS LÓPEZ-JACOBS

FLITTER MILZ, P.C.

450 N. Narberth Avenue, Suite 101 Narberth, PA 19072 (610) 822-0782

Attorneys for Plaintiffs